App. F. IX-4

File No. 20-26

Previous References (Secn) Prepared 9

Serial Nos. 1612

Later References
A R E 199-28-2
A R 352-25 (1-6)
" 277-25 (1-4. 13)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P 101(E)

B ~~Secn~~

Serial Nos. 12.

~~April 28~~

Part B for ~~July 1927.~~

SUBJECT

Action taken against Mr. M.J. Sringeschar, Agricultural Engineer, for non-adjustment of the advance of Rs 1000/- drawn by him in 1921.

P(11) To The Director of Agri
" Comptroller Surgeon
" Inspector of Offices Hyderabad
No. D 5-37-9 dt 15/7/28.

With reference to the correspondence ending with P(10) dated 1st for which the Agricultural Engineer (Mr. M.J. Sringeschar) has not accounted he is requested to refund the amount forthwith and he should be severely censured.

V. Narasimha Rao
INN 21/7/28

115

1485

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLER TO THE GOVT.
OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

8 TADA

Bangalore, dated 30th August 1926.

From

S. SHAMANNA, Esq., B.A.,
Offg: Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

V. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department, Bangalore.

Seri 20-26-1

I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs.1,000/- (Rupees one thousand only) drawn by the Director of Agriculture in Mysore on voucher No.C.43 during the month of October 1921 as an advance for the construction of a Filature shed at Mysore, is outstanding in the objection book of the Mysore District for want of details as to how the amount was finally accounted for. The Agricultural Engineer in Mysore who, in accordance with the letter No.2474/C.206-7 dated 30th September 1921 from the Director of Agriculture addressed to Government, is responsible for rendering final accounts for the advance drawn, has not furnished details necessary for the adjustment of the ~~sum~~ in spite of repeated reminders and special demi-official letters commencing from 20th August 1925. This was brought to the notice of the Director of Agriculture also in this office D.O.letters Nos.1748/TADA dated 25th June 1926 and 236/TADA dated 4th August 1926, for which a D.O.reply No.Roc.246-(A) of 26-27 dated 11th August 1926, stating that the details would be furnished before the 16th instant was received. It is already the 27th and the detailed accounts are not yet received in this office. As the matter has been under correspondence for the last one year and the final reply has not been received in spite of several promises from the Director and as the amount was drawn over five years ago, I have placed the matter before Government for such orders as they deem fit.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Shamanna

Offg. Comptroller.

Serial No.

(3

if the A.C. fails to move in disciplinary action may report the case.

2nd member

4
3-9-26.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.
4 SEP. 1926
No. 1825

I can not help stating that there is something seriously wrong with the Agricultural Dept. A sum of Rs 1000 was drawn as an advance in October 1921 and no accts have yet been submitted as to how it was spent. This dept does not seem to realise that it is handling public funds and that it is under bound to carry out the orders regulating the expenditure of such funds. Several instances of this kind have been noticed in the Audit and Appn Report for 1924-25, which is now under consideration by the Public Accts Committee. This Committee is sure to condemn the Agricultural Dept for its unreasonable laxity in drawing money from Treasury and not accounting for it for years. Such condemnation will be a reflection on Govt also for their failure to bring home its responsibility to the Dept. I am afraid some serious notice of this State of affairs has to be taken. I would suggest this action: The Dir may be asked to report at once who are the Gazetted officers responsible for the delay of nearly 5 years in not acctng for this advance and the Comptr may be authorised not to pass either the Salary or the T.A. of these officers until they submit accts for the advance and also explanation for the delay for the consideration and orders of Govt.

Dewan,
Third
Fourth member

6/9/26

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

Yes

Copy put up below

2 Being rdr. an authorised amend under article 246. N.A.C. (D) I, the recovery is governed by the Rules in art. 255 (N.A.C. (D) I) The rules have now been superseded by these Proms (Gaz and No 21 1400-27/B&S 2-26-19-8-26.

3 The present reference may perhaps be taken as one made according to para 9 (iii) said R.o, which provides for the institution of disciplinary action.

4 Subs for orders.

DU 2/9/26 HK

4 ~~orde~~ The adjustment ought to have been made within one month ~~from~~ succeeding the one in which the adv. is drawn (vide art 255-b)

B 3/9

Init 5) 5 This is a very bad case. The Drawer may be required to explain the delay and may be asked to ~~confirm~~ see that the advance is ~~sanctioned~~ by the A.G. Engr. ~~for~~ within one week from the date of issue of the ~~sanction~~ order, ~~failing~~ which the ~~original~~ copy of ~~which may be had~~ from

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
C SE
No

NOTE—Conts. Sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial File No. 20 of 1924

(4)

Serial No.

7 Dir Inglee asked to submit within a week for higher the info called for by Comptr & to submit a copy D/Nr with Explns of the Gazetted Offrs responsible for the delay of 5 months & add that if the info is not furnished in time Govt will consider taking action vs the latter.

Stopping pay is weak. Govt can suspend the Offrs concerned if the delay again.

Sd
6/9/26.

9

No officer or officers responsible for the delay with have the result in mind. Severely if their explanations are not found satisfactory. I am also for putting down this kind of slackness with an iron hand.

Let us first see the explanations first.

Sd
6/9

1st Secy. Member. 10 Regd. for info
Revenue Member
Agriculture. First Member 7/9 7.9.26

Issue 9.9.26 4 Regd. 8/9 9/9/26 9/9/26

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Secret File No. 1265/ of 1926

Serial No. 2

To

The Director of Agri.

No 9TC. 1661 dtd 9/9/26

I'm forwarding a copy of xxx Govt. letter to furnish the Comptr. within a fortnight from the date of receipt of this Communication, details necessary for the final adjustment of this advance, a copy of his letter to the Comptr. being simultaneously sent to Govt. and to Reports the names of the Gazetted officers responsible for the long delay and submit their explanations.

2 The reply of the Director of Agri was due on the 25th instant. It has not yet come; the Director was again reminded yesterday D.O.; it is also ascertained from the Comptroller's office that the amount has not been adjusted 14 Subs for perusal and orders.

Ltd. 29.9.26.

Revd. Secretariat Immediate D.O.

Reminder today

14 Subs for perusal with reference

29/9

(See Agri) Draft in appdx

29/9.

First member 30/9

Draft approved for issue

30/9 Dewan

Sub. 13 Nil 25.9.26

INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE BRANCH

Serial No.

1. I do not believe in these Memts. We must have an [illegible] on work - a choice was taken if Memta Caves. JM 30/9 CWR

19 Submitted for perusal of Dewans orders. Letter will issue

CWR 1.10.26.

1st member) WP 1/10

1/10/26. 20 Issue CWR. 1.10.26

3 To Memo No. D2381 of 1.10.26. WP

The Director of Agn.

Request him to submit to Secretariat for in 24 (2) within 24 hours.

4 Ref. Letter No. Rev. 24 & Ag 2nd October 1926 from the Director of Agriculture requesting a further time for the submission of a final report, and adding that strenuous efforts are being made in collecting the required data.

Given eight days time for final report.

21. Submitted with reference.

4.10.26 (Sec. Dev.) WP 4/10/26

The

22 The time required may be given. The amount is apparently included in the total

Serial No.

3888/6/10

Expenditure incurred on the Construction of the Filature House. The file below shows that the advance was given to the Agricultural Engineer to put up the House which was done "Amani" Of the total cost of the House and the amount paid on Bills were known it would be easy to deduce how much of the advance went for the Construction of the filature

CPs 5.9.26 WEP 5/10/26

1st member) Sir, delh

for addr to subdy with enclosure and subdo as draft

DE 6/10.

24 draft at delh CPs 8.10.26 WEP 9/10

25 Draft Subdy for appl

Ks. 9.10.26 Sec'y D) WEP 9/10

26. Addr Issue CPs 9.10.26 WEP 9/10

To

The Director of Agri

Camp. Dy. No. 8 dt 12. 10. 1926.

With Reference to (4) Steps to the time asked for by him is given and requests him to submit his Report within that time with explanation of the Officers Concerned. Dy. Reminder is issued to Director's file is issued as directed on the Draft.

27.10.26.

General and Revenue Secretariat | Serial File No. 20 1465 / 1978 of 192 6 8.(

Serial No.

28 Put up a DO reminder, saying that the time granted has long expired & a reply should be sent in the course of three days. The letter may be addressed to H.O. Krishnagiya as Director is away.

1.4.26.

29 Draft D.O letter Submitted.
Sig. 2.11.26. 30 Issue 2/11

31. Submitted for orders; the reply of the Director has not yet been received
Sig. 5.11.26

Letter no. Roe. 246 AS dated Nov. 1926 from the Director of Agri. stating that the work was completed long ago and the expenditure duly accounted for by D.C Bills, that the picking up of refuse requires considerable time and suggesting that the whole work be measured and revalued once again if Government is desirous to recover the recoverable refuse.

3/ Mr. Krumbiegel reports that the work was completed long ago and the expenditure accounted for by DC. Bills, portion of the total Cost of the Filature House debited not been furnished

Serial No.

32. Points for orders are

(i) whether the details for the expenditure Rs. 1000/- should be insisted upon or

(ii) whether the Construction should be treated as got and revealed approach.

Lis. 8.11.26.

33. The file with the Director's letter may be forwarded to the Commissioner in order to favour of remarks.

1st member) 9/11. 9.11.

10/11

10/11/26

10/11

34. Forwarded, with Compliments, the Commissioner to Govt. for favour of remarks on the Commissioner's letter dated the 7th November 1926, which refers to his letter No. 418T-A-D-A 9. 30.8.26

By order

Vedanayakam

for Secretary to Govt.

11/11/26

Dev. Department

Returned with Compliments.

WD 01226—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

eneral and Revenue Secretariat | Ser 1465 File No 20 of 1926

Serial No.

No 743/TH dt 2/12/26

Returned with compliments.

G.O.No. 459 dt 2-12-26

36 Out of Rs.5,400/- sanctioned in Government Order No. L.520-1/A&E.7-21-2 dated 25th July 1921 for the construction of the Filature House at Mysore, a sum of Rs.500/-, being savings in this Estimate, was subsequently appropriated for the construction of a stifling house at Chennapatna (Vide Government Order No. L.333-4/A&E.151-21-4 of 17th July 1922). This shows clearly that the total amount expended could not have been more than Rs.4,900/-. Further, the total expenditure on this work including the advance of Rs.1,000/- is Rs.5,859-7-7 and this could not have been incurred without Government sanction, when it exceeded the estimate of Rs.5,400. The total amount of Detailed Contingent Bills drawn from time to time at the Treasury and charged to the above work in the Accounts of this office works up to Rs.4,859-7-7. From the Director's letter, it will be seen that details for Rs.4,666-7-7 have been traced by the Agricultural Engineer. Evidently, the difference of Rs.193/- in the Departmental Account is due to the omission on the part of the Agricultural Department to include Rs.193/- paid to one Mr. Narasinga Rao Sindhe, Contractor, during September 1923, on account of Wood Work done by him in connection with the above work. Thus, it will be seen that the Engineer has drawn from the Treasury, the entire value of

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 17-12-25

Serial No.

work done, as recorded in the bills cashed at Treasuries. The advance of Rs.1,000/- drawn from the treasury is in addition to the sum of Rs.4,859-7-7 referred to above and therefore still remains unaccounted and unadjusted. If, as stated above, the total expenditure on the work was less than Rs.4,900/-, it is clear that the one thousand rupees drawn as advance from the Treasury in the first instance was more than what was required for the work and has not been actually spent on it.

37 The Agricultural Engineer should have been able to give an account of what happened, from his own records viz., Measurement Books, Contingent and Work Registers, Office Copies of Bills and Cash Book and should not have depended for the purpose on the Fair Copies of the Bills in this office. Having drawn the money, he should have kept account for the expenditure incurred and should have been in a position to prove at any time what he had done with the amounts drawn. This responsibility cannot be diminished by saying there was considerable difficulty in laying hands on the proper references in this office.

38 As a matter of fact, this office went out of its way in showing him all the bills relating to this work which were in this office. That the one thousand rupees referred to has not been spent on work and has not been accounted for is clear from what is stated above, though in his letter No. 3119/C.206 dated 24th October 1921, the Director of Agriculture clearly said that it must be acco

Serial No

accounted for with bills.

39 If the Measurement Book maintained by the Agricultural Engineer or the Completion Report sent up by him for sanction, are referred to, and I should think that they at least should be available, it should be possible for him to say whether the total value of the work done is only Rs.4,900/- as is to be inferred from Director's letter No. ROC873/H.C.285-10 dated 13th June 1922 quoted in the preamble of Government Order No.L.333-4/A&E.151-21-4 dated 17th July 1922, or Rs. 5,859/- the total amount drawn from the treasury including the advance.

40 In these circumstances, it is a matter for consideration and orders of Government as to whether they can regard the amount as having been spent on the work and be content with the rough evidence which a present valuation of the building may be afforded and dispensed with the proof which could be had from Measurement Books or Completion Reports.

V. Ramaswamy
Comptroller. 1/12

41 Subo for perusal & orders - Refd Para 33+?

Sec'l D) MP 3/12

42 Kindly bring up at once with file relating to G.O. dated 17.7.22 marked A above

GPR S.1226

WD 01225—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

(13)

eral and Revenue
Secretariat

Serl File No 20 of 192

Serial No.

43 The Comptroller's unofficial note explains the whole case fully. In his letter dated 13.6.22 the Director of Agriculture states that a saving of Rs 500 is expected out of the estimate for the Salaries ~~for~~ Rs sanctioned for Rs 5400. The attached ~~contingent~~ Contingent bills ~~amounting~~ for fresh money drawn from the treasury amount to Rs 4859-7-7. It is therefore clear that the Agricultural Engineer has not accounted for the advance of Rs 1000 drawn by him & in respect of which he was required by the Director to submit Accounts with vouchers.

44 The matter is exceedingly serious. ~~and~~ The only alternative to the presumption that the advance has been misappropriated is that the money has been spent on the buildings and the Agricultural Engineer has ~~considered~~ forgotten to submit bills covering additional ~~collection~~ Expenditure In view of the fact that the savings estimated by Dr Coleman tally with the expenditure incurred as per Contingent bills drawn on the Treasury from time to time, the whole case is very suspicious. Submitted for orders.

CRR
9.12.26

First member)

~~Respectfully submitted~~

45 The scope of the Enquiry may be authorised further & Secretariat the matter submit a report, any further Explanation that he may require may be obtained.

WD 01226—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

Serial No.

43 The Comptroller's unofficial note explains the whole case fully. In his letter dated 13.6.22 the Director of Agriculture states that a saving of Rs 500 is expected out of the estimate for the fiscal year ~~Rs~~ sanctioned for Rs 5400. The attached ~~contractor~~ Contingent Bills ~~amounting~~ for fresh money drawn from the treasury amount to Rs 4859-7-7. It is therefore clear that the Agricultural Engineer has not accounted for the advance of Rs 1000 drawn by him & in respect of which he was required by the Director to submit Accounts with Vouchers.

44 The matter is exceedingly serious. ~~and~~ The only alternative to the presumption that the advance has been misappropriated is that the money has been spent on the building and the Agricultural Engineer has ~~committed~~ forgotten to submit bills covering additional ~~collection~~ Expenditure. In view of the fact that the savings estimated by Dr Coleman tally with the expenditure incurred as per Contingent bills drawn on the Treasury from time to time, the whole case is very suspicious. Submitted for orders.

CRR
9.12.26

5906
10/12

First member)

~~respectfully submitted~~

45 The Scope of the Enquiry authorised by Govt may be enlarged to enable the Committee to submit a report, any further Explanation that may be necessary may be called for

WD 01225—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

General and Revenue Secretariat | Serl File No 20 of 1926 (14)

Serial No.

Mid Div. Please submit
D Dewan
10/12

46 Submitted for perusal
GRR
10.12.26.

Dewan)
WP
10/12

47 I agree [illegible]
[illegible]
GRR
13.12.26

Engr of Office Systems with compts

WP
13/12

48 This matter was discussed at the monthly meeting held today. The Mn Secy (+ Engr of Office Systems) was also present.

49 It was decided that, pending further advice of Govt on the adjustment of the advance, the pay of the Agt Engr, should be withheld payment

50 Dft has accordingly been put up, for appl or other orders—

Secy D)

51 Draft put up by case worker under my instructions and issue attached
WP
23/12
Mad
26.12.26

WD 01229 —GPB —37,500—1-9-26
App F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Seri File No. 20 of 1924

Seri No. 7

To the Director of Agri. — No. D.4853 & 24

State that the Comptroller has been instructed to withhold the pay of the Agricultural Engineer until he duly accounts for the advance and until final orders of Govt. are obtain in the matter.

Copy to Comptroller for record and immediate action. No. D.4853 & 24.1

52 Submd for perusal after issue

53 After perusal by the member, the file may be sent to I. Secy. 24.12.26. (Secy. D)

54. I have issued the letter as directed at the meeting yesterday requesting the Comptroller to stop the Agricultural Engineer's pay till he furnishes proper accounts for the advance drawn by him five years ago. Submitted for

24.12.

66/1. 24/12 (1st member)

55 Secy. I do not see fully into the matter. as Director of Agri & Offices (Insp' of Office Systems)

25/12

57/12

56 Reply from Director of Agri. awaited

4.1.27

General & Revenue Secretariat. | Secn File No. 20 of 192 6

Serial No.

Subject:-

Comptroller's report of the non-adjustment of the advance of Rs.1,000/- drawn by Mr. M.G. Singrachari, Agricultural Engineer, in October 1921 in connection with the Filature house construction at Mysore.

---

57 Government in their order No.520-1/A&E 7-21-2 dated 25th July 1921 (flag 'D' in file No. A & E 7-21) sanctioned an estimate for Rs. 5,400/- prepared by the Agricultural Engineer for converting a portion of the open stall in the Exhibition premises in Mysore into a Filature house. In the above estimate a lump sum provision of Rs.2,000/- was made for meeting the cost of the erection of chimney and installation of engine and plant including foundation and shafting and the rest of the estimate-Rs.3,400- included the cost of the walls, doors and windows required for adapting the open shed for a Filature.

58 On the recommendation of the Director of Agriculture, Government in their order No.2360-1/ A & E 7-21-4 dated 19th October 1921 (flag 'E' in A & E 7-21) permitted the work being executed by departmental agency under the supervision of the Agricultural Engineer with a view to its being completed within three months and a Maistry on Rs.50/- was also appointed for a period of two months to have direct supervision over the work and to collect the necessary materials. An advance of Rs. 1000/- was granted to the Agr:

Serial No.

Agricultural Engineer to facilitate payment being made for the materials as soon as they were delivered. This advance was drawn by the Agri. Engineer in October 1921.

59 The work appears to have been finished by June 1922, as admitted by the Agri. Engineer — for the Director of Agricultu in his letter No. R.O.C. 873/A.C. 285-10 dated 13th June 1922 (flag 'H' in A & E 151-21) reported that out of the sum of Rs.5,400/- sanctioned for the Filature house at Mysore, saving to the extent of Rs.500/- remained and he proposed that the savings might be utilised for Completing the stifling house at Chennapatna. Government in their order No.L. 333-4/A & E 151-21-4 dated 19th July 1922 (flag 'G' in A & E 151-21) sanctioned the above proposal of the Director. The Agricultural Engineer however stated that he was unaware of this.

60 According to the report of the Director the total outlay on the Filature house at Mysore was about Rs.4,900/- which is about the total amount of the detailed contingent bills drawn from time to time at the Treasury and charged to the above work, viz., Rs.4859-7-7. It will thus be seen that payments for the entire value of the work done were made from amounts drawn from the treasury on bills and that the advance of Rs.1000/- drawn from the Treasury in addition to the sum of Rs. 4859-7-7 referred to above, remained un-adjusted.

61 No completion report of the work was submitted either to Audit or Government. As the

Serial No.

As the advance was not accounted for by the department inspite of repeated requisitions, the Comptroller in his letter No. 418/ T.A.D.A dated 30th August 1926, placed the matter before Government, and Government in their letter No. D.4852/Seri.20-26-7 dated 24th December 1926, ordered that the pay of the Agricultural Engineer be withheld until he duly accounted for the advance.

2 The matter having been referred to me for investigation as per Dewan's orders dated - 10-12-26, I requested the Director of Agriculture to permit the Engineer to furnish me with a detailed account of the Elaki kum kumachan. ~~advance~~. The correspondence that has pssed between us in this matter is enclosed. The delay in the submission of the report is due to the time taken by the Agricultural Engineer in furnishing information on the several points asked for, and even at this stage, I am sorry to state that ~~the necessary records and documents are~~ sufficient evidence is not forthcoming to support the Agricultural Engineer's contention that he is not accountable for the advance. The statements of accounts submitted by him and also the contingent register and other books maintained by his maistry in connection with the above work were - carefully examined with reference to the bills and vouchers available in the Comptroller's Office.

It may however be mentioned that no detailed estimate for the work is forthcoming

Serial No.

forthcoming and the Cash book is not traceable.

63 In the final statements of accounts furnished by him, the Engineer states that the entire advance of Rs 1000/- was adjustabl as follows:-

(1) Advances made to Maistry Appiah and recoverable from him	Rs 184-1-7
(2) Payment to Messrs.Hajee Abdul Rahiman Saheb & Sons.	445-0-0
(3) Bricks purchased from Kathe Gowda in April 1922	391-0-0
	1020-1-

Item No.1.:
The Engineer's statement of advances made t Maistry Appiah shows that payments were mad to him from 10th September 1921 to 18th Jul 1922. According to the Maistry's rough - account book, there is no record of transac tion after 14th May 1922. There is no evi dence to show that the sum of Rs.184-1-7 is due by the Maistry. The Katcha book maintai by the Maistry makes out, on the other hand that a sum of Rs 1-7-10 is due to him from Engineer himself. Why the large advance left with the Maistry without adjustment, w steps were taken by him for recovery of the amount and Why the Agricultural Engineer did not report the matter to the Director till now, have not been explained

Serial No.

.Item No.2:- Rs 445 paid to Messrs. Hajee Abdul Rahiman and Sons.

64 The Engineer states that "this was expenditure which is shown in the statement forwarded by the Superintendent of Sericulture, Vide statement 'C' in his letter No. 1299 dated 10-10-1926."

65 In reply to my enquiry, the Superintendent of Sericulture, has stated that the sum of Rs 445/- represents two payments of Rs 427-12-6 and Rs 17-4-0 paid to Hajee Abdul Rahiman Saheb & Sons and Ay.R.Shukoor &Co., in Bills Nos. 2584/666 and 214 respectively. These bills are countersigned by the Agricultural Engineer and relate to ~~a different~~ estimate sanctioned in G.O.No.1770-1/A.E. 74-21-2 dated 23-9-1921 for Rs 2100/-, on account of putting up fencing for the mulberry garden attached to Silk Farm at Mysore a totally different work. ~~The firms xxxx xxxxx~~ This is borne out from the entries in the Contingent Register of the Comptroller's office. The firms concerned drew these amounts from the State Huzur Treasury in November 1922. These payments do not appear to have any connection whatsoever with the construction of the Filature House at Mysore; ~~and narrowing the firms' claims paid out of the advance of Rs 1000/- drawn by the Engineer in October 1921 on account of the Filature work.~~

Serial No.

6h Item No.(3) Rs 391/- being the cost of bricks purchased from Kathe Gowda in April 1922.

6i The Agricultural Engineer says that " this is shown in the book maintained by the maistry for the work. This, I understand, has been purchased for the work apart from the stock for which payment has been shown in audit".

In Appiah's book against date 7-4-1922 there are two entries of payments of Rs 162 and Rs 391 being made by him to Kathegowda for bricks supplied. The former is stated to relate to Silk filature construction- ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೪೫ ಕೆಲಸ ಕಟ್ಟಡ - of which the details of supply are also given in the book. The other payment is not supported by such detailed entries. However, this payment of Rs 391 made on account of 23000 bricks supplied has also been recouped on bill dated 6-4-22 and cashed in the Treasury on 3-7-1922. According to the entries made on the voucher this charge relates to another work viz., the construction of sheep dipping tank at Yelachihalli, Mysore.

6k The contention of the Agricultural Engineer is that a sum of Rs 391 paid to Kathe-Gowda for 23000 bricks supplied for the silk Filature was not recouped from the Treasury and that it should be taken in part adjustment of the advance of Rs 1000/- drawn by him.

Serial No. 69

This plea is not supported by any records.

(a) Maistry Appiah was supervising two works in the latter part of 1921-22 viz., construction of the Filature house and the construction of the sheep dipping tank.

(b) As per Engineer's statement the maistry does not appear to have maintained a separate account book for the latter work.

(c) The Maistry's book produced by the Engineer contains entries relating to ~~other~~ general works in his charge.

(d) ~~On~~ Against debit 7-4-1922 there is an entry ~~authorising~~ of another payment of Rs 162/- made to Kathe Gowda for bricks (13000) supplied on account of the Silk Filature. But according to the bill No. 38 of April 1922 cashed in January 1923, it will be seen that only a sum of Rs 114 was charged to this work, the balance being debited to another work, ~~construction of~~ converting 2 stalls ~~for~~ into cocoon stores.

(e) In the account book maintained by the maistry there is only one entry of payment of Rs 391 to Kathe Gowda and there is only one stamped voucher for Rs 391 obtained. This amount has been subsequently recouped from the Treasury. In the extract from the ~~xxx~~ advance ledger credit has been afforded to only one item of Rs 391 in Appiah's account.

70 The contention that two payments of Rs 391/- were made to Kathe Gowda on one and the same date through Appiah for two supplies

of 23000 bricks is thus ~~[illegible]~~substantiated.

71 The measurement books produced by the Engineer do not contain all the measurements taken by him or the progress of the Filature work in all its stages. ~~Only copies of certain bills passed by him have been entered in the book~~. The contingent registers produced by him have also not been properly maintained and do not contian particulars of the several detailed bills drawn by him from the Treasury on account of the work in question.

72 As already stated no ~~vouchers~~ running cash account was produced by the Agricultural Engineer.

73 From a detailed examination of the records produced and the statements made by the Agricultural Engineer, I am constrained to observe that the Engineer has failed to account for the advance of Rs 1000/- drawn by him and that no part of the advance is covered by the actual expenditure incurred in connection with the filature work which has not already been recouped. The Agricultural Engineer alleges that a sum of Rs 184-1-7 is due from Maistry Appiah and that it should be recovered from him. I am not sure that the Maistry will accept the liability at this distance of time . As I have already stated the Maistry's account book makes out that a sum of Rs 1-7-10 is due to him by the Agricultural Engineer.

74 As regards the adjustment of the balance of about Rs 816 the following is the explanation of the ~~APD~~ Agricultural Engineer :-

" I may, in this connection, be permitted to explain the unhappy system under which I am compelled to work in the Department. I am the only man to carry out building works, in addition to other activities, with neithe the help of an executive subordinate nor an Accounts Clerk. As such, for works that are carried outside Bangalore, I am used to depe upon the concerned sections for the direct supervision of the works and the maintenance of detailed accounts.

75 This work was carried out under similar circumstances, and had to be pushed on, in haste, as a French Engineer was expected to arrive any ~~any~~ moment for fitting up the filature machinery. As it was feared that a contractor could not finish the job expeditiously, a mistry was put to carry out the work departmentally and amounts were placed at his disposal whenever necessary.

76 The accounts of the work were submitted periodically to the Superintendent of Sericulture, the Director of Agriculture and the Comptroller. I did not maintain details for Rs 1000/-, which formed part of a bigger expenditure, but naturally expected a maintenance of full accounts and their check in these offices"

General & Revenue Secretariat. | Ser. File No. 20 of 192 6

Serial No.

77 My investigation which has been conducted with the help of the auditor of the Comptroller's office leads to the irresistable conclusion that the advance of Rs 1000/- drawn from the Treasury on account of the Filature work was not finally expended on that work and still remains to be accounted for by the Agri. Engineer himself. And in the absence of satisfactory evidence that the money was used for other works sanctioned by Government, the Agri. Engineer will have to be held personally responsible to refund the same to the Treasury.

78 Orders have issued stopping the disbursement of pay to the Agri. Engineer pending his furnishing detailed accounts of the adjustment of the advance of Rs 1000/-. Mr. Singrachari has been without pay for 6 months. In the circumstances, no further disciplinary action seems ~~necessary to be~~ called for beyond the recovery of the advance from the pay of the officer. Strict observance of the code only in the matter of accounting of works expenditure should be insisted on -

B Ramanujam
9. 6. 27.

Inspector of Office Systems
and
Officer in charge of Rev. Audit.

9/6

To Secy. Dev. Offs with compliments.

General & Revenue Secretariat. | Secl File No. 20 of 192 6 | 26

erial No.

79

I agree with the Fd Secy that the Agri Engineer shd be made to pay the amount that he is unable to account for; but I am not sure that the amount is at least a fraction if, has not been expended on the work. The only way to make sure is to get the work itself valued by professional agency, and see if, at the rates prevailing at the time of his execution, it did not cost more than the total amount of the DC bills drawn from time to time. There is no doubt that the Engineer has been culpably negligent; but I agree with the Fd Secy that recovering the amt of the advance from him, and the stoppage of 6 months pay which he has already suffered are sufficient punishment.

80 I am enclined to observe that this work was under the direct charge & supervision of the Agri Engineer, & that the Revl Dept had nothing to do either with its control or the maintenance of accounts.

81 There is just one point which calls for notice. The Agri Engineer

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Govt File No. 20 of 1912

Serial No.

refers to the difficulty of working after his duties satisfactorily without an accountant and an executive subordinate. This points to a serious defect of organisation which if not rectified, might jeopardise public interests, and be a fruitful source of similar trouble in future. The Dist. Magistrate might perhaps be requested to look into this matter and furnish proposals if necessary.

3d Member

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL
11 JUN 1927
No. 3374

WB 10/6.

The case discloses a most serious state of affairs, utter negligence, nothing worse. The action proposed is not clear. I suppose the pay of the Agent should be withheld pending disposal of the case. I think the least that should be done is to recover the loss of Rs from him & impose a fine of Rs one month's pay on him.

BB As regards the provision of an

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Received on
19 JUN 1927
Reg. No. 9873

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Dew

G. R. S. [2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

Sen File No. 20 of 1926

Chief Sec'y with compliments

K.M.

19.6.'21

No. C. 47

19 26-27

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT

(clause V of the schedule)

Non-adjustment of the advance of Rs.1,000/- drawn by Mr. M. G Singrachari, Agricultural Engineer, in 1921 and action against him.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

20th June 1927.

Chief Secretary to Government.

---

irst Member of Council. 20/6/27

econd Member of Council. 21/6/27

i Member of Council.

Sec-20f26

Extract from the Proceedings of the 801st *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at* ~~Bangalore~~ Mysore *on the* 21st June 1927. *19*

212. Subject. - Clause v of the Schedule.

Non-adjustment of the advance of Rs.1000/- drawn by Mr.M.A.Singrachari, Agricultural Engineer. in 1921 and action against him.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

The advance of Rs.1000/- may be recovered from the Officer's arrears of pay and he may be warned.

(Sd.) Mirza M. Ismail,
Dewan of Mysore.

For form of final note

3rd Member. 28/6

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1471—GPB—2,000—1-3-21

3845/26

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Secn File No. 20 of 1926

Serial No.	
87	Final Note signed by the Member is placed in the file. Noted 25/6/27 S. V. Subd. Dewan 27/6 28.6.27

Seri. File 20/26 32

31

Final Note by the Third Member of Council.

Subject :— Non-adjustment of the advance of Rs.1,000 drawn by Mr. M.G. Singrachari, Agricultural Engineer, in 1921 and action against him.

The Comptroller in his letter dated the 30th August 1926 reported to Government that a sum of Rs.1,000 drawn by the Director of Agriculture on Voucher No.C.43 in October 1921 as an advance for the construction of a Filature shed at Mysore was outstanding in the books of the Mysore District for want of details as to how the amount was finally accounted for. Thereupon the Director of Agriculture was addressed in the matter on the 9th September 1926. After some delay he reported on the 5th November 1926 that the Agricultural Engineer did not understand that Rs.1,000 referred to above was an advance that had to be accounted for separately but treated it as a temporary imprest for facilitating the rapid execution of the urgent work, that the work for which the advance was taken was finished long ago and the expenditure was accounted for by Detailed Contingent bills, that it was not possible at this distance of time to say on what items that particular sum was expended without references which required considerable time to trace and suggested that if sufficient time could not be allowed, measurements might be taken and the whole work re-valued by the Public Works Department or other independent agency to show that the money drawn had been spent on the work. The Comptroller to whom this letter was sent for remarks stated that the total amount of Detailed Contingent bills drawn from time to time at the Treasury and charged to the above work in the accounts of his office amounted to Rs.4,859-7-7 and that the advance of Rs.1,000 drawn from the Treasury was in addition to this sum and therefore still remained unaccounted and unadjusted. Meanwhile Government ordered that the pay of the Agricultural Engineer should be withheld until he duly accounted for the above advance and until final orders

orders were passed in the matter.

The Inspector of Office Systems who was also asked to go into this matter held after scrutiny of the papers and accounts that the advance of Rs.1,000 drawn from the Treasury on account of the Filature work was not finally expended on that work and still remained to be accounted for by the Agricultural Engineer who will have to be held personally responsible for refunding the amount to the Treasury.

The question was considered in Council on the 21st June 1927 when it was resolved that the advance of Rs.1,000 should be recovered from the Officer's arrears of pay and that he should be warned.

The recommendation of the Council may be graciously approved.

C S Balasundaram

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Camp Mysore,
25th June 1927.

Seri. file 20/26

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Action to be taken against Mr.M. Singrachar, Agricultural Engineer, for non-adjustment of the advance of Rs.1000 drawn by him in 1921.

Order.

90 ~~KRW~~

4/7/27

? log

gm

4.7.27

Secl. File 29/25 (84)

Submitted to His Highness.

89 *I submit herewith a Final Note by the*.....Third...

Member of Council on the subject of....Non-adjustment of the advance of Rs. 1,000 drawn by Mr. M.G. Singrachari, Agricultural Engineer, in 1921 and action against him,

for His Highness' gracious approval.

Mirza M. Ismail

Dewan.

28.6.27

General and Revenue Secretariat

Seri. File 20/1926. C471

SUBJECT

Action to be taken against Mr. Mg. Singrachar, Agricultural Engineer, for non-adjustment of the advance of Rs 1000/- drawn by him in 1921.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to he Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circlated to the Members of Council at headquaters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before /after issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government.

Through the Secretary to Government,

Development Department.

Subd for perusal

22/7/27

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 26/7/27

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 27-7-27

~~EXTRA~~ MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 28/7/27

91 Please put up G.O. reciting the facts briefly.

6/7/27

App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat. Secn. File No. 20 of 1926.

Serial No.	
92	Submitted with draft for consideration. 16.12.7.27.
	93 Lo[illegible] for approval, 12.7.27
	Dep. Secy)
	3rd Member)
	12/7/27
	C&S 13/7. 13.7.27
	94 Issue 14/7/27 15.7.27

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 13 JULY 1927 No. 382

Typed draft as finally revised by the Member is placed in the

The Director of Agn.
as Comptr
as Inspector of Offices Systems
No D 537-S of 15.7.27

Reference the correspondence ending with his No 1067, dated [illegible] of Rs 1000/- for which the Engineer (Mr. M. S. Sujjachar) was accountable be recovered from him and he should be severely warned.

95 Resolved for approval of Hd's and 3. 16.7.27 by Members of Council.

VD 0324 -GPB—60,000—24 5-27

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Genl File No. 20 of 1926.

Serial No.

96 To: the Agri. Section for favour of his necessary further actions, with reference to paras 83 and 85 supra.
K.S.G. 4.8.27.

97 Recently in connection with the result of several audit-reports of the Agricultural Deptt, new forms & account procedure & the manner in which accounts are required to be maintained, have been issued. It is for orders if the Comptroller may be consulted as to the feasibility of an accounts officer being attached to the Agl Deptt mainly for the Agl engineering staff also, as has been done in the case of the Education, Electric & other Deptts — to ensure better Scrutiny & efficiency in account matters.
Submitted
4/8

98 The 3rd member's orders are to consult the Director.
10/8

99 Draft submitted for orders —
11/8 Draft letter for approval
9.8.27
Dos Secy)

100 Issued
14/9/27
14/9

8438

App. F. III—2

eneral and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 20 of 1926.

al

To

The Director of Agri.

No D 2857 of 17-5-27

In Continuation of Secl. No. 2857 of 17-5-27, Requested to examine the adequacy of the account- and technical staff of the Agril Engineer and furnish proposals as to how best the position may be improved.

2. The unusual delay on the part of the Agricultural Engineer in accounting for the advances drawn by him is one of the reasons mentioned in the Audit and Appropriation accounts for 25-26 (Part II - page 180, para 16). Final orders were passed in G.O. No. D 5537-9 Ser. 20-25 dated 15th July 1927. May I file the Audit-Note H. Bruce for note & return?

15-10-2-28.

The Agri. Engl Section has been strengthened & a Jt Asst to Dir. Agri. has been given for 1 year. This may be filed, if

BRANCH

D 0321-SPB-50,000—24-5-27

Confidential.& Immediate.

No. 1661 D. 9/9/26
Seri 20-26-2

To

The Director of Agriculture
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 418/T.A.D. A. dated 30th August 1926 from the Comptroller regarding the adjustment of the advance of Rs. 1,000 drawn in October 1921 for the construction of a Filature Shed at Mysore and to request you to ~~kindly arrange to~~ furnish to the Comptroller within a fortnight from the date of the receipt of this communication, details necessary for the final adjustment of this advance, a copy of your letter to the Comptroller being simultaneously sent to Government.

I am also to request you to ~~kindly~~ report the names of the Gazetted Officers responsible for the long delay of 5 years and submit their explanation in the matter to Government with your remarks.

I am to add that if the information required by the Comptroller for adjustment of the advance is not furnished to him within the time above specified, Government would be obliged to take severe disciplinary action ~~in the matter~~ with regard to the public servants at fault in the matter.

I have etc.,

Immediate & Comp.

Dis No 5301 of 20

Secy 20-26-3

Dear Mr. Krumbiegel

Please see this office d.o. letter no. 1661 dated 9th Sep 1926 and d.o. letter no. 2108 dated the 28th instant regarding to adjustment of a advance of Rs. 1000 drawn in the year 1921. The matter is exceedingly urgent and serious and you were specifically requested to see that it was closed within 15 days and submit a report. Govt now with regret that in spite of the request the report called for has not been sent to Govt. I am to ask you now to state if this could not, for any reason, be done, it was open to you to bring the circumstances to the notice of Govt and obtain further time. Govt now with dissatisfaction that you have done neither the one nor the other. I am to ask you now to submit the report called for in 24 hours.

Yrs

1- 10-26

Signed by Mr C.R. Inbek

Dy Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.



Immediate & Confidential.

D.O. No. Roc.246-A of 26-27.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR.

H. KRUMBIEGEL, Esq., F.R.H.S.,
Offg. Director.

*Bangalore,...*October 2.....*192*6.

Seri: 20-26-4

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter No.I.C.1661/Seri.20-262 dated 9-9-1926, about adjustment of the advance of Rs.1000/- made to the Agricultural Engineer in connection with the construction of the Filature House, I write to state that the expenditure relating to this advance is understood to form part of the entire cost incurred on the building, viz., Rs.5400/- sanctioned in Government Order No. L 2360-1/Agl 7-21-4 dated 19th Oct 1921 and is therefore merged in the latter. The Engineer reports having given over all the particulars to the Superintendent of Sericulture who must have furnished them to the Comptroller. It has therefore not been possible yet to locate details for the particular amount of Rs.1000/-, and the Engineer has been in correspondence with the Comptroller and the Superintendent of Sericulture in the matter. Out of the total sum of Rs.5400/- sanctioned for the Filature buildings, accounts for Rs.4666-7-7 are stated to have been traced in conjunction with the Comptroller's office. It will thus take time to get at the full details for submitting a final report in the matter. I may, however, assure you that the matter was not at all lost sight of, and the Agricultural Engineer has been strenuously endeavouring, in close co-operation with the accounts officers, to bring together the required data and reconcile the figures of adjustment in the Comptroller's and this office. I trust Government will consider the circumstances, allow another fortnight for the submission of the report. It may be noted that your letter above quoted was received here on 13th September and the period of 15 days has therefore already been exceeded.

Yours sincerely,

Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Development Departments, Bangalore

your report within the
time, with explanation for
the delay in adjusting the
amount ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~, and with particulars
as to the total cost of the Platform
Horse & the amount paid on bills, so far.
It may also be stated whether the ~~final bill~~ completion report

G. H. Krumbiegel Esq.

Dear Sir,

I write reference to
our letter no. Rve. 246 A&S 24/26
requiring a fortnight's time
the submission of a de-
tailed report re the agricul-
tural offr. 1000/- advanced to
Agricultural Engineer for
Construction open Flatin
use at Mysore in October
21, I am desired to state
at the time asked for by
you is granted, and to
request you to submit

over

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
MYSORE STATE.

8-NOV 1926
INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE
Seri.2026-t

D.O. No. Roc.246-A of 26-27.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR.

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, ESQ., F.R.H.S.,
Offg. Director.

Bangalore,..November...5.,....1926.

Dear Sir,

In reply to your D.O. letter No.D.3063/Seri.20-26 of the 2nd instant, I write to state that the Agricultural Engineer did not understand that this Rs.1000/- was an advance that had to be accounted for separately but treated it as a temporary imprest for facilitating the rapid execution of the urgent work. The work was completed long ago and the expenditure have all been accounted for by Detail Contingent Bills. If the fact that it was shown as advance - subject to separate adjustment had been intimated as soon as the final work bill was submitted, it would have been a comparatively easy matter to adjust these accounts satisfactorily at once. But such an intimation seems to have been given to the Agricultural Engineer for the first time in Comptroller's office letter No.256 of 20th August 1925. At this distance of time it is almost impossible to say without the papers relevent to the subject on what items this particular sum was expended. The Agricultural Engineer has been going frequently to the Comptroller's office to get at the papers, but that office has considerable difficulty in laying their hands on the proper references. As picking up the references is a matter requiring considerable time and if Government cannot see their way to allow sufficient time, I would suggest as an alternative that measurements may be taken and the whole work revalued by the Public Works Department or other independent agency to show that the money drawn has been spent on the work.

(7

No. 81/20-12-26.

Please refer to correspondence ending with your D.O. No. R.O.C. 246-A of 26-27 dated 5th November 1926 in the matte of adjustment of an advance of Rs.1000/- drawn by the Agricultural Engineer in October 1921 in respect of the construction of a Palace House at Mysore.

I am desired to request you to kindly ask the Agricultural Engineer to furnish detailed information on the followir points.

(i) The various amounts drawn from the Treasury for the work in question, with dates and particulars of expenditure incurred on the work in question.

(ii) Whether the measurements of work done and paid fo were not recorded in a measurement book. If so, the measu ment book may be sent for reference. If no such book was tained, the reason for the omission may be stated.

(iii) When was the work closed and completion report A copy of the said report may be furnished.

(iv) In your office letter No. R.O.C.873/H.C.285-10 d 13th June 1922, it was reported that out of the estimated of the work viz., Rs.5400, a sum of Rs.500 remained as sav which was proposed to be utilised for another work. Ac to the Comptroller's accounts also the total amount dra the Treasury for the work in question besides the advan Rs.1000/- referred to above is Rs. 4859-7-7. How the s of Rs. 1000/- was adjusted requires to be explained.

I shall be glad to go into the matter in detail

tho Agricultural Engineer, if he can meet me in my office with all the connected records as early as possible.

Yours sincerely,

(Sgd.) B. Sreenivasaiya.

To

G.H. Krumbiegel, Esq., E.R.H.S.
Director of Agriculture in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

neral and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Director of Agriculture

Dear Sir,

~~With~~ Please refer to correspondence ending with your D.O. No. R. Oc 246-A of 26-27 dy 5th Nov. 1926 in the matter of adjustment of the amount of Rs 1000 drawn by Mr. in Oct. 1921 in respect of the Construction of a Zilladar's house at Alagiri.

I am desired to request you to kindly move and the A.G. to furnish the ~~the~~ detailed bills in the following form:-

i. The various amounts drawn from the Treasury for the work in question, with dates & particulars ~~of expenditure~~ ~~recorded in the bills~~ of expenditure incurred on the ~~#~~ in question.

ii. Whether any measurements of work done were made & if a measurement book. If so, the book regarding the same. If no such book was maintained, ~~over~~

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Dear Sir,

10

Referring to your letter No. 418/FA 2. A. of 30th August 1926, in the matter of Rs 1000 drawn by the Director of Agriculture in Mysore in October 1921 as an advance for the construction of a Filature Shed at Mysore is still outstanding in the objection book of the Mysore District etc, I write to state that I want to see the work Register and the relative bills in your office and to request that the clerk concerned may kindly be asked to show them to me.

Yours sincerely

S. Shamanna, Exp. BA.,
Compiler to Govt

Mr Nagappa

Vide statement furnishing the Compln office

Rs 1000 received A to Q 10/2/27

2) Amount repd from the Director of Agriculture Bgre vide Statement

Today

2.1.1927

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Let me see the Work Register and the relative files in the Compilation office - Please request the compiler to ask the Clerk concerned to show them -

2) The Dir of Agriculture may be addressed - with copy this 00 of 5th Nov 1926. to kindly ask the Agl Engr to furnish details on the following points -

1. ~~The~~ amounts drawn for by for the work, with particulars regd & the purpose -

2. Whether any measurement book was maintained by the AE of work done was recorded in it. If so, the same might kindly be sent for use.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

3. When was the work closed - whether a completion report was - A copy of the said report might be furnished.

from Asst Engr h 18/11

Subd.

I have carefully studied the papers. One point has to be verified before we can say conclusively whether the advance of Rs 1000/- in question has been misappropriated or not. The final estimate of cost of the Pleaders house as reported by the Director of Agriculture was Rs 4900/- and the total amount drawn from the Treasury on account of work amounted to Rs 4859-7-7. The point to be verified is whether the actual cost on the building was only Rs 4859-7- which is equal to the money actually drawn from the Treasury or whether it amounted to Rs 5859-7-7 which is equal to the amount drawn from the Treasury plus the advance of Rs 1000/- drawn by the Agricultural Engineer. In order to ascertain the truth in the matter the measurement book maintained by the Agricultural Engr or the completion report submitted by him should be examined and a correct account of what has actually been spent on the advance has to be gathered from the contingent and work registers, office copies of the bills and cash book.

Submitted for perusal & orders.

14/11

Diary No. of letter replied to 2624

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Date of issue 22-12-26

Signed by W C R Sahib

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Seri
File No. 20—26
Serial No. 7
No. D. 4852 of 1926/1

To
The Director of Agr

Sir,

With reference to
Correspondence endg w
Your letter No: D.O.C 2.
26—27 regarding the adv
of Rs 1000/- drawing by
the Agl
for the Construction of
Tobacco shed, I am d
to state that the Agl
Engr has not accounte
the above advance a
he was further
Inform the information re
from the Comptr, it was

over

General and Revenue Secretariat. Ser. File No. 43 of 1927.

Serial No. 5

Letter no D. 32728/20-9-28 from the Dir. of Ind. requesting submission of a report as to what further concessions may be given for making the new Domestic Basins more popular.

Letter no. Ry-1884-27/2-10-28 from the Dir. of Ind. proposing that the domestic basins may be made available for sale at Rs. 100/- each instead of Rs. 150/- as at present, the excess of Rs. 50/- being treated as a Govt. Subvention.

2) To achieve really useful results one has to instal a plant consisting of five sets of basins costing about Rs. 8000/. The Dir. Agreeing with the Central Silk Association, the ~~who~~ proposes that the set may be made available for Rs. 5000/- the balance of Rs. 3000/- being treated as Subvention from Govt.

3) There are at present only five sets of five basins owned by private gentlemen and it is evident people have not taken to it more largely for want of facilities. Subs for now

Ref. 5-10-28. 13 The concession recommended

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT 6 24 SEP 1928 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

GD-GRL-GPB—30,000—18-4-28

for a sufficient number of units being manufactured and kept in stock to meet the demands from Sericulturists either through co-operative Societies or Village Panchayets or otherwise.

19/1/28

Secretary to Government,
Development Departments

25/1/28

The Director of I&C

The Comptroller to Govt., Fin. Dept.

14.17.1.28.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

G. O. No. D·8020-21 Seri. 41-27-2 DATED 30th January 1941.

May this be

i. printed? yes.

ii. treated as a standing order? yes

iii. published in the Gazette English Part? In order. Does not seem nece

iv. translated? "

v. placed at the disposal of the press? "

vi. published in the Gazette Kannada Part? "

I agree
MG

Sale of Domestic ~~[illegible]~~ Utensils

Directs for ——— on the line.

Purchase System

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

No. D.8020-21
Seri. 41-27-2 DATED 30th January 1928.

this be

i. printed? yes.

ii. treated as a standing order? yes

iii. published in the Gazette English Part? In order. Does not seem necessary,

iv. translated? "

v. placed at the disposal of the press? "

vi. published in the Gazette Kannada Part? "

I agree
MV

Sale of Domestic ~~liquor~~ articles

Directs for _____ on the basis of

purchase system

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. D. 8020-21—Seri. 41-27-2, dated 30th January 1928.

Sale of Domestic Units.

Directs the —— on the hire-purchase system.

READ—

Letter No. D4-1298-27, dated 3rd November 1927, from the Director of Indust and Commerce, submitting proposals for the sale of "Domestic Units" on hire-purchase system.

ORDER No. D. 8020-21—SERI. 41-27-2, DATED 30TH JANUARY 1928.

The plant known as "Domestic Unit" which is an improved reeling appliance been slowly gaining in popularity. With a view to afford facilities to sericulturists to the unit more largely, the Director of Industries and Commerce was consulted in the ma of allowing the units to be sold on the hire-purchase system. He is of opinion that it r be sold on the hire-purchase system as in the case of purchase of industrial machin subject however, to the following modifications:—

(1) The advance required to be deposited by the purchaser may be reduced fr ½ to ⅓ of the cost of the machinery.

(2) Interest on the balance of the loan advanced for the purchase of the plant be fixed at 6 per cent.

2. Having regard to the fact that the majority of the small sericulturists who wo want to purchase the Domestic Basin belong to the poorer classes of people, Governm are pleased to accept the proposals of the Director of Industries and Commerce.

The Director of Industries and Commerce is requested to arrange for a sufficie number of units being manufactured and kept in stock to meet the demands fr sericulturists either through Co-operative Societies or Village Panchayets or otherwise.

N. RAMA RAO,
Secretary to Government,
Development Departments.

To—The Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government, through the Financial Secretariat.

Exd.—P. S. R. N.